



## Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

---

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:  
*Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*

Cronfa URL for this paper:  
<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa33135>

---

### Paper:

Röckner, M. & Wang, F. (2017). Closability of quadratic forms associated to invariant probability measures of SPDEs.  
*Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, 20(04), 1750023  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219025717500230>

---

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

# Closability of Quadratic Forms Associated to Invariant Probability Measures of SPDEs \*

Michael Röckner<sup>b)</sup> and Feng-Yu Wang<sup>a),c)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

<sup>b)</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

<sup>c)</sup>Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.-Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk, roeckner@math.uni-bielefeld.de

July 12, 2016

## Abstract

By using the integration by parts formula of a Markov operator, the closability of quadratic forms associated to the corresponding invariant probability measure is proved. The general result is applied to the study of semilinear SPDEs, infinite-dimensional stochastic Hamiltonian systems, and semilinear SPDEs with delay.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 60H15, 60J75.

Keywords: Closability, Invariant probability measure, semi-linear SPDEs, integration by parts formula.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a separable Banach space and  $\mu$  a reference probability measure on  $\mathbb{B}$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{B}$ , let  $\partial_k$  denote the directional derivative along  $k$ . According to [8], the form

$$\mathcal{E}_k(f, g) := \mu((\partial_k f)(\partial_k g)) := \int_{\mathbb{B}} (\partial_k f)(\partial_k g) d\mu, \quad f, g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{B}),$$

is closable on  $L^2(\mu)$  if  $\rho_s := \frac{d\mu(s\mathbf{k}+\cdot)}{d\mu}$  exists for any  $s$  such that  $s \mapsto \rho_s$  is lower semi-continuous  $\mu$ -a.e.; i.e. for some fixed  $\mu$ -versions of  $\rho_s, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow t} \rho_s(x) \geq \rho_t(x), \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

---

\*Supported in part by NNSFC(11131003, 11431014).

In this paper, we aim to investigate the closability of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  for  $\mu$  being the invariant probability measure of a (degenerate/delay) semilinear SPDE. Since in this case the above lower semi-continuity condition is hard to check, in this paper we make use of the integration by parts formula for the associated Markov semigroup in the line of [10] using coupling arguments.

The main motivation to study the closability of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  (respectively of  $\partial_k$ ) on  $L^2(\mu)$  is that it leads to a concept of weak differentiability on  $\mathbb{B}$  with respect to  $\mu$  and one can define the corresponding Sobolev space on  $\mathbb{B}$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . In particular, one can analyze the generator of a Markov process (e.g. arising from a solution of an SPDE) on these Sobolev spaces when  $\mu$  is its (infinitesimally) invariant measure, see e.g. [7] for details.

Before considering specific models of SPDEs, we first introduce a general result on the closability of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  using the integration by parts formula. To this end, we consider a family of  $\mathbb{B}$ -valued random variables  $\{X^x\}_{x \in \mathbb{B}}$  measurable in  $x$ , and let  $P(x, dy)$  be the distribution of  $X^x$  for  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then we have the following Markov operator on  $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{B})$ :

$$Pf(x) := \int_{\mathbb{B}} f(y)P(x, dy) = \mathbb{E}f(X^x), \quad x \in \mathbb{B}, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{B}).$$

A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{B}$  is called an invariant measure of  $P$  if  $\mu(Pf) = \mu(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{B})$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Assume that the Markov operator  $P$  has an invariant probability measure  $\mu$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{B}$ . If there exists a family of real random variables  $\{M_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{B}}$  measurable in  $x$  such that  $M \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)$ , i.e.*

$$(1.1) \quad (\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(|M|^2) := \int_{\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{E}|M_x|^2 \mu(dx) < \infty;$$

*and the integration by parts formula*

$$(1.2) \quad P(\partial_k f)(x) = \mathbb{E}\{f(X^x)M_x\}, \quad f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{B}), \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{B}$$

*holds, then  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{B}))$  is closable in  $L^2(\mu)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu$  is  $P$ -invariant, by (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$\mu(\partial_k f) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} P(\partial_k f)(x) \mu(dx) = (\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(f(X^\cdot)M), \quad f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{B}).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_k(f, g) &:= \mu((\partial_k f)(\partial_k g)) = \mu(\partial_k \{f \partial_k g\}) - \mu(f \partial_k^2 g) \\ &= (\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(\{f \partial_k g\}(X^\cdot)M) - \mu(f \partial_k^2 g), \quad f, g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{B}). \end{aligned}$$

It is standard that this implies the closability of the form  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{B}))$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Indeed, for  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C_b^2(\mathbb{B})$  with  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\partial_k f_n \rightarrow Z$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ , it suffices to prove that  $Z = 0$ .

Since  $\mu(f_n^2) \rightarrow 0$  and  $(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(|f_n \partial_k g|^2(X \cdot)) = \mu(|f_n \partial_k g|^2)$  as  $\mu$  is  $P$ -invariant, the above formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(Zg)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(g \partial_k f_n)| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(\{f_n \partial_k g\}(X \cdot)M) - \mu(f_n \partial_k^2 g)| \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sqrt{(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(|f_n \partial_k g|^2(X \cdot)) \cdot (\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(|M|^2)} + \sqrt{\mu(f_n^2) \mu(|\partial_k^2 g|^2)} \right\} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \|\partial_k g\|_\infty \sqrt{\mu(f_n^2) \cdot (\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(|M|^2)} + \|\partial_k^2 g\|_\infty \sqrt{\mu(f_n^2)} \right\} = 0, \quad g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Z = 0$ . □

**Remark 1.1.** The integration by parts formula (1.2) implies the estimate

$$(1.3) \quad |\mu(\partial_k f)|^2 \leq (\mathbb{P} \times \mu)(|M|^2) \mu(f^2).$$

As the main result in [3] (Theorem 10), this type of estimate, called Fomin derivative estimate of the invariant measure, was derived as the main result for the following semilinear SPDE on  $\mathbb{H} := L^2(\mathcal{O})$  for any bounded open domain  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 3$ :

$$dX(t) = [\Delta X(t) + p(X(t))]dt + (-\Delta)^{-\gamma/2} dW(t),$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $p$  is a decreasing polynomial with odd degree,  $\gamma \in (\frac{n}{2} - 1, 1)$ , and  $W(t)$  is the cylindrical Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{H}$ . The main point of the study is to apply the Bismut-Elworthy-Li derivative formula and the following formula for the semigroup  $P_t^\alpha$  for the Yoshida approximation of this SPDE (see [3, Proposition 7]):

$$P_t^\alpha \partial_k f = \partial_k P_t^\alpha - \int_0^t P_{t-s} (\partial_{Ak} + \partial_{kp} P_s^\alpha f) ds.$$

In this paper we will establish the integration by parts formula of type (1.2) for the associated semigroup which implies the estimate (1.3). Our results apply to a general framework where the operator  $(-\Delta)^{-\gamma/2}$  is replaced by a suitable linear operator  $\sigma$  (see Section 2) which can be degenerate (see Section 3), and the drift  $p(x)$  is replaced by a general map  $b$  which may include a time delay (see Section 4). However, the price we have to pay for the generalization is that the drift  $b$  should be regular enough.

## 2 Semilinear SPDEs

Let  $(\mathbb{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real separable Hilbert space, and  $(W(t))_{t \geq 0}$  a cylindrical Wiener process on  $\mathbb{H}$  with respect to a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with the natural filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(\mathbb{H})$  be the spaces of all linear bounded operators and Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $H$  respectively. Let  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$  denote the operator norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm respectively.

Consider the following semilinear SPDE

$$(2.1) \quad dX(t) = \{AX(t) + b(X(t))\}dt + \sigma dW(t),$$

where

**(A1)**  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is a negatively definite self-adjoint linear operator on  $\mathbb{H}$  with compact resolvent.

**(A2)** Let  $\mathbb{H}^{-2}$  be the completion of  $\mathbb{H}$  under the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-2}} := \langle A^{-1}x, A^{-1}y \rangle.$$

Let  $b : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-2}$  be such that

$$\int_0^1 |e^{tA}b(0)|dt < \infty, \quad |e^{tA}(b(x) - b(y))| \leq \gamma(t)|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{H}, t > 0$$

holds for some positive  $\gamma \in C((0, \infty))$  with  $\int_0^1 \gamma(t)dt < \infty$ .

**(A3)**  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$  with  $\text{Ker}(\sigma\sigma^*) = \{0\}$  and  $\int_0^1 \|e^{tA}\sigma\|_{HS}^2 dt < \infty$ .

According to **(A1)**, the spectrum of  $A$  is discrete with negative eigenvalues. Let  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \dots$  be all eigenvalues of  $-A$  counting the multiplicities, and let  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  be the corresponding unit eigen-basis. Denote  $\mathbb{H}_{A,n} = \text{span}\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\mathbb{H}_A := \cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{H}_{A,n}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathbb{H}$ . In assumption **(A2)** we have used the fact that for any  $t > 0$ , the operator  $e^{tA}$  extends uniquely to a bounded linear operator from  $\mathbb{H}^{-2}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$ , which is again denoted by  $e^{tA}$ .

Due to assumptions **(A1)**, **(A2)** and **(A3)**, by a standard iteration argument we conclude that for any  $x \in \mathbb{H}$  the equation (2.1) has a unique mild solution  $X^x(t)$  such that  $X^x(0) = x$  (see [4]). Let

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}f(X^x(t)), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H}), x \in \mathbb{H}$$

be the associated Markov semigroup.

Let

$$\|x\|_\sigma = \inf \{|y| : y \in \mathbb{H}, \sqrt{\sigma\sigma^*}y = x\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{H},$$

where  $\inf \emptyset := \infty$  by convention. Then  $\|x\|_\sigma < \infty$  if and only if  $x \in \text{Im}(\sigma)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that  $P_t$  has an invariant probability measure  $\mu$  and  $\mathbb{H}_A \subset \text{Im}(\sqrt{\sigma\sigma^*})$ .

(1) For any  $k \in \mathbb{H}_A$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}} \|\partial_k b(x)\|_\sigma := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\|b(x + \varepsilon k) - b(x)\|_\sigma}{\varepsilon} < \infty,$$

the form  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{H}))$  is closable in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

- (2) If  $\sigma\sigma^*$  is invertible and  $b : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is Lipschitz continuous, then  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{H}))$  is closable in  $L^2(\mu)$  for any  $k \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $d\tilde{W}_t := (\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1/2}\sigma dW_t$  is also a cylindrical Brownian motion and  $\sigma dW_t = \sqrt{\sigma\sigma^*}d\tilde{W}_t$ , we may and do assume that  $\sigma$  is non-negatively definite.

(1) Without loss of generality, we may and do assume that  $k$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ , i.e.  $Ak = \lambda k$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . We first prove the case where  $b$  is Fréchet differentiable along the direction  $k$ . By  $Ak = \lambda k$  we have

$$k(t) := \int_0^t e^{sA} k ds = \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} k, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where for  $\lambda = 0$  we set  $\frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} = t$ . Due to  $\|k\|_\sigma < \infty$  and (2.2), the proof of [10, Theorem 5.1(1)] leads to the integration by parts formula

$$(2.3) \quad P_T(\partial_k f)(x) = \mathbb{E}\{f(X^x(T))M_{x,T}\}, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}), x \in \mathbb{H}, T > 0,$$

where

$$M_{x,T} := \frac{\lambda}{e^{\lambda T} - 1} \int_0^T \left\langle \sigma^{-1} \left( k - \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} (\partial_k b)(X^x(t)) \right), dW(t) \right\rangle.$$

Since (2.2) implies

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{E}|M_{x,T}|^2 \mu(dx) \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{(e^{\lambda T} - 1)^2} \int_0^T \left\| \sigma^{-1} \left( k - \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \partial_k b \right) \right\|_\infty^2 dt < \infty,$$

$(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{H}))$  is closable in  $L^2(\mu)$  according to Proposition 1.1.

In general, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  let

$$b_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} b(x + rk) \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{2\varepsilon} \right] dr, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $b_\varepsilon$  is Fréchet differentiable along  $k$  and (2.2) holds uniformly in  $\varepsilon$  with  $b_\varepsilon$  replacing  $b$ . Let  $P_t^\varepsilon$  be the semigroup for the solution  $X_\varepsilon(t)$  associated to equation (2.1) with  $b_\varepsilon$  replacing  $b$ . By simple calculations we have:

$$(i) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|X_\varepsilon^x(t) - X^x(t)|^2 = 0, \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H}.$$

(ii) For any  $T > 0$ , the family

$$M_{x,T}^\varepsilon := \frac{\lambda}{e^{\lambda T} - 1} \int_0^T \left\langle \sigma^{-1} \left( k - \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} (\partial_k b_\varepsilon)(X_\varepsilon^x(t)) \right), dW(t) \right\rangle, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)$ ; i.e.  $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{E}|M_{x,T}^\varepsilon|^2 \mu(dx) < \infty$ .

$$(iii) \quad P_T^\varepsilon(\partial_k f)(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_\varepsilon^x(T))M_{x,T}^\varepsilon), \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}), \varepsilon > 0.$$

So, there exist  $M_{\cdot,T} \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)$  and a sequence  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $M_{\cdot,T}^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow M_{\cdot,T}$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \mu)$ . Thus, by taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (iii) and using (i), we prove (2.3) for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ . Then the proof of the first assertion is completed as in the first case.

(2) Since  $\sigma$  is invertible, **(A3)** implies  $\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} < \infty$ . Next, since the Lipschitz constant  $\|\partial b\|_{\infty}$  of  $b$  is finite, the integration by parts formula (2.3) also implies explicit Fomin derivative estimates on the invariant probability measure, which were investigated recently in [3]. Indeed, it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(\partial_k f)| &= \inf_{T>0} |\mu(P_T(\partial_k f))| \leq \inf_{T>0} \sqrt{\mu(P_T f^2)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{E}|M_{x,T}|^2 \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq |k| \cdot \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \inf_{T>0} \frac{\lambda}{e^{\lambda T} - 1} \left( \int_0^T \left\| \sigma^{-1} \left( I - \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \partial b \right) \right\|_{\infty}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Ak = \lambda k. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $k = e_i$ ,  $T = \lambda_i^{-1}$  and  $\lambda = -\lambda_i$  in the above estimate, for any  $k \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(\partial_k f)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle k, e_i \rangle \mu(\partial_{e_i} f)| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 \langle k, e_i \rangle^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \mu(\partial_{e_i} f)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (2.5) \quad &\leq |Ak| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\sigma^{-1}\|^2}{\lambda_i (e - 1)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{e - 1}{\lambda_i} \|\partial b\|_{\infty} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \\ &\leq C |Ak| \cdot \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C := \frac{\|\sigma^{-1}\| \sqrt{\alpha}}{e - 1} \left( 1 + \frac{e - 1}{\lambda_1} \|\partial b\|_{\infty} \right)$ . This implies the closability of  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{H}))$  as explained in the proof of Proposition 1.1. Indeed, if  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset C_b^2(\mathbb{B})$  satisfies  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\partial_k f_n \rightarrow Z$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ , then (2.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(gZ)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(g \partial_k f_n)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(\partial_k(f_n g) - \mu(f_n \partial_k g))| \\ &\leq C |Ak| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\mu((f_n g)^2)} = 0, \quad g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{B}), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $Z = 0$ . □

To conclude this section, let us recall a result concerning existence and stability of the invariant probability measure. Let  $W_a(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} \sigma dW(s)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Assume that  $b$  is Lipschitz continuous and  $\int_0^{\infty} \|e^{tA} \sigma\|_{HS}^2 dt < \infty$ . We have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}(\|W_A(t)\|^2 + |b(W_A(t))|^2) < \infty.$$

Therefore, by [5, Theorem 2.3], if there exist  $c_1 > 0, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $c_1 + c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\langle A(x - y), x - y \rangle \leq -c_1 |x - y|^2, \quad \langle b(x) - b(y), x - y \rangle \leq -c_2 |x - y|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{H},$$

then  $P_t$  has a unique invariant probability measure such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t f = \mu(f)$  holds for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$ .

### 3 Stochastic Hamiltonian systems on Hilbert spaces

Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  be two separable Hilbert spaces. Consider the following stochastic differential equation for  $Z(t) := (X(t), Y(t))$  on  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{H}$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = BY(t)dt, \\ dY(t) = \{AY(t) + b(t, X(t), Y(t))\}dt + \sigma dW(t), \end{cases}$$

where  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{H}})$ ,  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  satisfies **(A1)**,  $\sigma$  satisfies **(A3)**,  $W(t)$  is the cylindrical Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{H}$ , and  $b : [0, \infty) \times \tilde{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-2}$  satisfies: for any  $T > 0$  there exists  $\gamma \in C((0, T])$  with  $\int_0^T \gamma(t)dt < \infty$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int_0^T |e^{tA}b(s, 0)|dt < 1, \\ & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |e^{tA}(b(s, z) - b(s, z'))| \leq \gamma(t)|z - z'|, \quad t \in [0, T], z, z' \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for any initial data  $z := (x, y) \in \mathbb{H}$ , the equation has a unique mild solution  $Z^z(t)$ . Let  $P_t$  be the associated Markov semigroup.

When  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  are finite-dimensional, the integration by parts formula of  $P_t$  has been established in [10, Theorem 3.1]. Here, we extend this result to the present infinite-dimensional setting.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $BB^* \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\mathbb{H}})$  with  $\text{Ker}(BB^*) = \{0\}$ . Let  $T > 0$  and  $k := (k_1, k_2) \in \text{Im}(BB^*) \times \mathbb{H}$  be such that*

$$(3.3) \quad Ak_2 = \theta_2 k_2, \quad AB^*(BB^*)^{-1}k_1 = \theta_1 B^*(BB^*)^{-1}k_1$$

for some constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $\phi, \psi \in C^1([0, T])$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \phi(0) = \phi(T) = \psi(0) = \psi(T) - 1 = \int_0^T e^{\theta_2 t} \psi(t) dt = 0, \quad \int_0^T \phi(t) e^{\theta_1 t} dt = e^{\theta_1 T},$$

let

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= B^*(BB^*)^{-1}k_1 \int_0^t \phi'(s) e^{\theta_1(s-T)} ds + k_2 \int_0^t \psi'(s) e^{\theta_2(s-T)} ds, \\ \tilde{h}(t) &= \phi(t) e^{\theta_1(t-T)} B^*(BB^*)^{-1}k_1 + \psi(t) e^{\theta_2(t-T)} k_2, \\ \Theta(t) &= \left( \int_0^t B \tilde{h}(s) ds, \tilde{h}(t) \right), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

If for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $b(s, \cdot)$  is Fréchet differentiable along  $\Theta(t)$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^T \sup_{z \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{H}} \|h'(t) - (\partial_{\Theta(t)} b(t, \cdot))(z)\|_\sigma^2 dt < \infty,$$

then for any  $f \in C_b^1(\tilde{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{H})$ ,

$$P_T(\partial_k f) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ f(Z(T)) \int_0^T \left\langle (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1/2} \{h'(t) - (\partial_{\Theta(t)} b(t, \cdot))(Z(t))\}, dW(t) \right\rangle \right\}.$$



*Proof.* As explained in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we simply assume that  $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma\sigma^*}$ . Let  $(X^0(t), Y^0(t)) = (X(t), Y(t))$  solve (3.1) with initial data  $(x, y)$ , and for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  let  $(X^\varepsilon(t), Y^\varepsilon(t))$  solve the equation

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(t) = BY^\varepsilon(t)dt, & X^\varepsilon(0) = x, \\ dY^\varepsilon(t) = \sigma dW(t) + \{b(t, X(t), Y(t)) + AY^\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon h'(t)\}dt, & Y^\varepsilon(0) = y. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y(t) &= \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} h'(s) ds \\ &= \varepsilon B^*(BB^*)^{-1} k_1 \int_0^t \phi'(s) e^{\theta_1(s-T)} e^{\theta_1(t-s)} ds + \varepsilon k_2 \int_0^t \psi'(s) e^{\theta_2(s-T)} e^{\theta_2(t-s)} ds \\ &= \varepsilon (\phi(t) e^{\theta_1(t-T)} B^*(BB^*)^{-1} k_1 + \psi(t) e^{\theta_2(t-T)} k_2) = \varepsilon \tilde{h}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} X^\varepsilon(t) - X(t) &= \varepsilon \int_0^t B \tilde{h}(s) ds \\ &= \varepsilon \left( k_1 \int_0^t \phi(r) e^{\theta_1(r-T)} dr + (Bk_2) \int_0^t \psi(r) e^{\theta_2(r-T)} dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(3.7) \quad X^\varepsilon(t) - X(t) = \varepsilon \Theta(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and in particular

$$(3.8) \quad (X^\varepsilon(T), Y^\varepsilon(T)) = (X(T), Y(T)) + \varepsilon k$$

due to (3.4). Next,

$$(3.9) \quad \xi_\varepsilon(s) = \varepsilon h'(s) + b(s, X(s), Y(s)) - b(s, X^\varepsilon(s), Y^\varepsilon(s))$$

and

$$R_\varepsilon = \exp \left[ - \int_0^T \langle \sigma^{-1} \xi_\varepsilon(s), dW(s) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\sigma^{-1} \xi_\varepsilon(s)|^2 ds \right].$$

We reformulate (3.6) as

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(t) = BY^\varepsilon(t)dt, & X^\varepsilon(0) = x, \\ dY^\varepsilon(t) = \sigma dW^\varepsilon(t) + \{b(t, X^\varepsilon(t), Y^\varepsilon(t)) + AY^\varepsilon(t)\}dt, & Y^\varepsilon(0) = y, \end{cases}$$

where by (3.5) and (3.7),

$$W^\varepsilon(t) := W(t) + \int_0^t \sigma^{-1} \xi_\varepsilon(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

is a cylindrical Brownian motion under the weighted probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon \mathbb{P}$ . Since  $|\xi_\varepsilon|$  is uniformly bounded on  $[0, T]$ , by the dominated convergence theorem and (3.7), for any  $f \in C_b^1(\tilde{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{H})$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_T(\partial_k f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \frac{f((X(T), Y(T)) + \varepsilon k) - f((X(t), Y(t)))}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \frac{f((X^\varepsilon(T), Y^\varepsilon(T))) - R_\varepsilon f((X^\varepsilon(T), Y^\varepsilon(T)))}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( f(Z(T)) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - R_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( f(Z(T)) \int_0^T \left\langle \sigma^{-1} \{h'(t) - (\partial_{\Theta(t)} b)(Z(t))\}, dW(t) \right\rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

To apply this result, we present here a specific choice of  $(\phi, \psi)$  such that (3.4) holds:

$$\phi(t) = \frac{e^{\theta_1 T} t(T-t)}{\int_0^T s(T-s) e^{\theta_1 s} ds}, \quad \psi(t) = \frac{e^{\theta_2(T-t)}}{T} \left( \frac{3t^2}{T} - 2t \right), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$  and  $\text{Ker}(B) = \{0\}$ . Let  $b(t, \cdot) = b$  do not dependent on  $t$  such that  $P_t$  has an invariant probability measure  $\mu$ . If*

$$(3.11) \quad \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}} \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\|b(x + rB^{-1}\tilde{k}, y + rk) - b(x, y)\|_\sigma}{r} < \infty, \quad (\tilde{k}, k) \in (B\mathbb{H}_A) \times \mathbb{H}_A,$$

*Then for any  $(k_1, k_2) \in (B\mathbb{H}_A) \times \mathbb{H}_A$ , the form  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}))$  is closable in  $L^2(\mu)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove for  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  such that  $B^{-1}k_1$  and  $k_2$  are eigenvectors of  $A$ , i.e.  $AB^{-1}k_1 = \theta_1 B^{-1}k_1$  and  $Ak_2 = \theta_2 k_2$  hold for some  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . As explained above there exists  $T > 0$  such that (3.4) holds for some  $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty([0, T])$ . Moreover, as explained in the proof of Theorem 2.1, by taking

$$b_\varepsilon(s, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} b((x, y) + r\Theta(s)) \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{2\varepsilon} \right] dr, \quad s \in [0, T], (x, y) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that (3.11) holds uniformly in  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $s \in [0, T]$  with  $b_\varepsilon(s, \cdot)$  replacing  $b$ , we may and do assume that  $b(s, \cdot)$  is Fréchet differentiable along  $\Theta(s)$ . Then the integration by parts formula in Proposition 3.1 holds, and due to (3.11) we have

$$M_{\cdot, T} := \int_0^T \left\langle (\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1/2} \{h'(t) - (\partial_{\Theta(t)} b(t, \cdot))(Z(t))\}, dW(t) \right\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \mu).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 1.1, the form  $(\mathcal{E}_k, C_b^2(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}))$  is closable on  $L^2(\mu)$ . □

Below are typical examples of the stochastic Hamiltonian system with invariant probability measure such that Theorem 3.2 applies.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$ .

(1) Let  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$  for some  $d \geq 1$ . When  $\sigma = B = I$ ,  $A \leq -\lambda I$  for some  $\lambda > 0$  is a negatively definite  $d \times d$ -matrix, and  $b(x, y) = A^{-1} \nabla V(x)$  for some  $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V(x)} dx < \infty$ . Then the unique invariant probability measure of  $P_t$  is

$$\mu(dx, dy) = C e^{-V(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \langle Ay, y \rangle} dx dy,$$

where  $C > 0$  is the normalization. See [2, 6, 9] for the study of hypercoercivity of the associated semigroup  $P_t$  with respect to  $\mu$ , as well as [12] for the stronger property of hypercontractivity.

(2) In the infinite-dimensional setting, let  $\sigma = B = I$  and  $A$  be negatively definite such that  $A^{-1}$  is of trace class. Take  $b(x, y) = A^{-1} Qx$  for some positively definite self-adjoint operator  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  such that  $Q^{-1}$  is of trace class and

$$\int_0^1 \|e^{tA} A^{-1} Q\| dt < 1.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\mu(dx, dy) = N_{Q^{-1}}(dx) N_{-A^{-1}}(dy)$$

is an invariant probability measure.

(3) More generally, let  $\sigma = B = I$  and

$$b(x, y) = \tilde{b}(x) := A^{-1} \nabla V(x), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}_A$$

for some Fréchet differentiable  $V : \mathbb{H}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that (3.11) holds. For any  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$V_n(r) = V \circ \varphi_n(r), \quad \varphi_n(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_i, \quad r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-V_n(r)} dr < \infty$  and when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the probability measure

$$\nu_n(D) := \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-V_n(r)} dr} \int_{\varphi_n^{-1}(D)} e^{-V_n(r)} dr, \quad D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$$

converges weakly to some probability measure  $\nu$ , then  $\mu := \nu \times N_{-A^{-1}}$  is an invariant probability measure of  $P_t$ . This can be confirmed by (1) and a finite-dimensional approximation argument. Indeed, let  $\pi_n : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{A,n}$  be the orthogonal projection, and let  $A_n = \pi_n A$ ,  $W_n = \pi_n W$  and  $b_n(x, y) = \pi_n \nabla V(x)$ . Let  $X_n(t)$  solve the finite-dimensional equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_n(t) = Y_n(t) dt, \\ dY_n(t) = \{A_n Y_n(t) + b_n(X_n(t))\} dt + dW_n(t) \end{cases}$$

with  $(X_n(0), Y_n(0)) = (\pi_n X(0), \pi_n Y(0))$ . Then the proof of [11, Theorem 2.1] yields that for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_n(t) - X(t)|^2 + |Y_n(t) - Y(t)|^2) = 0$$

uniformly in the initial data  $(X(0), Y(0)) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ . Thus, letting  $P_t^{(n)}$  be the semigroup for  $(X_n(t), Y_n(t))$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}} |P_t^{(n)} f(\pi_n x, \pi_n y) - P_t f(x, y)| = 0, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}).$$

Combining this with the assertion in (1) and noting that  $\nu_n \times (N_{-A^{-1}} \circ \pi_n^{-1}) \rightarrow \mu$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\mu$  is an invariant probability measure of  $P_t$ .

## 4 Semilinear SPDEs with delay

For fixed  $\tau > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_\tau = C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{H})$  be equipped with the uniform norm  $\|\eta\|_\infty := \sup_{\theta \in [- \tau, 0]} |\eta(\theta)|$ . For any  $\xi \in C([- \tau, \infty); \mathbb{H})$ , we define  $\xi_\cdot \in C([0, \infty); \mathcal{C}_\tau)$  by letting

$$\xi_t(\theta) = \xi(t + \theta), \quad \theta \in [- \tau, 0], t \geq 0.$$

Consider the following stochastic differential equation with delay:

$$(4.1) \quad dX(t) = \{AX(t) + b(X_t)\}dt + \sigma dW(t), \quad X_0 \in \mathcal{C}_\tau,$$

where  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  satisfies **(A1)**,  $\sigma$  satisfies **(A3)**, and  $b : \mathcal{C}_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  satisfies: for any  $T > 0$  there exists  $\gamma \in C((0, T])$  with  $\int_0^T \gamma(t)dt < \infty$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^T \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |e^{tA} b(s, 0)|^2 dt < \infty, \quad |e^{tA} (b(s, \xi) - b(s, \eta))|^2 \leq \gamma(t) \|\xi - \eta\|_\infty^2, \quad t, s \in [0, T].$$

Then for any initial datum  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_\tau$ , the equation has a unique mild solution  $X^\xi(t)$  with  $X_0 = \xi$ . Let  $P_t$  be the Markov semigroup for the segment solution  $X_t$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{C}_\tau^1 = \left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{C}_\tau : \eta(\theta) \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ for } \theta \in [- \tau, 0], \int_{-\tau}^0 (|A\eta(\theta)|^2 + |\eta'(\theta)|^2) d\theta < \infty \right\}.$$

The following result is an extension of [10, Theorem 4.1(1)] to the infinite-dimensional setting.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For any  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_\tau^1$  and  $T > \tau$ , let*

$$\Gamma(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T-\tau} e^{(s+\tau-T)A} \eta(-\tau), & \text{if } s \in [0, T-\tau], \\ \eta'(s-T) - A\eta(s-T), & \text{if } s \in (T-\tau, T], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Theta(t) := \int_0^{t \vee 0} \Gamma(s) ds, \quad t \in [- \tau, T].$$

If  $b(t, \cdot)$  is Fréchet differentiable along  $\Theta_t$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_\tau} \int_0^T \|\Gamma(t) - (\nabla_{\Theta_t} b(T, \cdot))(\xi)\|_\sigma^2 dt < \infty,$$

then

(4.4)

$$P_T(\partial_\eta f) = \mathbb{E} \left( f(X_T) \int_0^T \left\langle (\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1/2} (\Gamma(t) - (\nabla_{\Theta_t} b(t, \cdot))(X_t)), dW(t) \right\rangle \right), \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathcal{C}_\tau).$$

*Proof.* Simply let  $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma\sigma^*}$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , let  $X^\varepsilon(t)$  solve the equation

$$(4.5) \quad dX^\varepsilon(t) = \{AX^\varepsilon(t) + b(t, X_t) + \varepsilon\Gamma(t)\}dt + \sigma dW(t), \quad X_0^\varepsilon = X_0.$$

We have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} X^\varepsilon(t) - X(t) &= \varepsilon \int_0^{t^+} e^{(t-s)A} \Gamma(s) ds \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon t^+}{T - \tau} e^{(\tau-T)A} \eta(-\tau) 1_{[-\tau, T-\tau)}(t) + \varepsilon \eta(t - T) 1_{[T-\tau, T]}(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, T]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $X_T^\varepsilon - X_T = \varepsilon\eta$ . To formulate  $P_T$  using  $X_T^\varepsilon$ , rewrite (4.5) by

$$dX^\varepsilon(t) = \{AX^\varepsilon(t) + b(t, X_t^\varepsilon)\}dt + \sigma dW_\varepsilon(t), \quad X_0^\varepsilon = X_0,$$

where

$$W_\varepsilon(t) := W(t) + \int_0^t \xi_\varepsilon(s) ds, \quad \xi_\varepsilon(s) := b(s, X_s) - b(s, X_s^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon\Gamma(s).$$

By (4.3) and the Girsanov theorem, we see that  $\{W_\varepsilon(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a cylindrical Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{H}$  under the probability measure  $d\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon d\mathbb{P}$ , where

$$R_\varepsilon := \exp \left[ \int_0^T \left\langle \sigma^{-1} (b(t, X_t^\varepsilon) - b(t, X_t) - \varepsilon\Gamma(t)), dW(t) \right\rangle \right].$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_T)) = P_T f = \mathbb{E}(R_\varepsilon f(X_T^\varepsilon)).$$

Combining this with  $X_T^\varepsilon = X_T + \varepsilon\eta$  and using (4.6), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} P_T(\partial_\eta f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\{f(X_T + \varepsilon\eta) - f(X_T)\} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\{f(X_T^\varepsilon) - R_\varepsilon f(X_T^\varepsilon)\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_T) \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1 - R_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left\{f(X_T) \int_0^T \left\langle \sigma^{-1} (\Gamma(t) - (\nabla_{\Theta_t} b(t, \cdot))(X_t)), dW(t) \right\rangle\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $b(t, \cdot) = b$  be independent of  $t$  such that  $P_t$  has an invariant probability measure  $\mu$ . If  $\text{Im}(\sigma) \supset \mathbb{H}_A$  and

$$(4.7) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_\tau} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\|b(\xi + \varepsilon\eta) - b(\xi)\|_\sigma}{\varepsilon} < \infty, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{C}_\tau^1 \cap \left( \bigcup_{n \geq 1} C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{H}_{A,n}) \right),$$

then for any  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_\tau^1 \cap (\cup_{n \geq 1} C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{H}_{A,n}))$ , which is dense in  $\mathcal{C}_\tau$ , the form

$$\mathcal{E}_\eta(f, g) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_\tau} (\partial_\eta f)(\partial_\eta g) d\mu, \quad f, g \in C_b^2(\mathcal{C}_\tau)$$

is closable in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  let

$$b_\varepsilon(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} b(\xi + r\Theta_t) \exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{2\varepsilon}\right] dr, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C}_\tau.$$

Then  $b_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$  is F chet differentiable along  $\Theta_t$  and (4.7) holds uniformly in  $\varepsilon$  with  $b_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$  replacing  $b$ . Moreover,  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_\tau^1 \cap (\cup_{n \geq 1} C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{H}_n))$  implies that  $\Theta_t \in \mathcal{C}_\tau^1 \cap (\cup_{n \geq 1} C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{H}_n))$  and (4.7) holds uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  with  $\Theta_t$  and  $b_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$  replacing  $\eta$  and  $b$  respectively. Combining this with  $\text{Im}(\sigma) \supset \mathbb{H}_A$ , we conclude that (4.3) holds uniformly in  $\varepsilon$  with  $b_\varepsilon$  replacing  $b$ . Therefore, as explained in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we may assume that  $b$  is Fr chet differentiable along  $\Theta_t$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and by Proposition 4.1 the integration by parts formula (4.4) holds. Moreover, (4.7) implies

$$M_{\cdot, T} := \int_0^T \left\langle (\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1/2} (\Gamma(t) - (\nabla_{\Theta_t} b(t, \cdot))(X_t)), dW(t) \right\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \times \mu).$$

Then the proof is finished by Proposition 1.1.  $\square$

Finally, we introduce the following example to illustrate Theorem 4.2.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $b(\xi) = F(\xi(-\tau))$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_\tau$ , for some  $F \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H})$ . If  $\sigma$  is Hilbert-Schmidt and

$$\langle x, Ax + F(y) - F(y') \rangle \leq -\lambda_1 |x|^2 + \lambda_2 |y - y'|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{H},$$

for some constants  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq 0$ , then according to [1, Theorem 4.9]  $P_t$  has a unique invariant probability measure  $\mu$ . If moreover  $\text{Im}(\sigma) \supset \mathbb{H}_A$  and for any  $y \in \mathbb{H}_A$  there exists a constant

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}} \frac{\|F(x + \varepsilon y) - F(x)\|_\sigma}{\varepsilon} < \infty,$$

then by Theorem 4.2, for any  $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_\tau^1 \cap (\cup_{n \geq 1} C([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{H}_{A,n}))$  the form  $(\mathcal{E}_\eta, C_b^2(\mathcal{C}_\tau))$  is closable on  $L^2(\mu)$ .

## References

- [1] J. Bao, A. Truman, C. Yuan, *Stability in distribution of mild solutions to stochastic partial differential delay equations with jumps*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 465 (2009), 2111–2134.

- [2] F. Baudoin, *Bakry-Emery meet Villani*, arXiv:1308.4938.
- [3] G. Da Prato, A. Debussche, *Existence of the Fomin derivative of the invariant measure of a stochastic reaction-diffusion equation*, arXiv: 1502.07490v1.
- [4] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [5] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Convergence to equilibrium for classical and quantum spin systems*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 103 (1995), 529–552.
- [6] M. Grothaus, P. Stilgenbauer, *Hypocoercivity for Kolmogorov backward evolution equations and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), 3515–3556.
- [7] M. Röckner,  *$L^p$ -analysis of finite and infinite dimensional diffusion operators*, in “SPDEs and Kolmogorov’s equations in infinite dimensions (G. Da Prato, ed.) ” Lecture Notes Math. vol. 1715, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 65–116.
- [8] M. Röckner, N. Wielens, *Dirichlet forms, losability and change of speed measure*, Infinite-dimensional analysis and stochastic processes (Bielefeld, 1983), 119–144, Res. Notes in Math., 124, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [9] C. Villani, *Hypocoercivity*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (2009), no. 950.
- [10] F.-Y. Wang, *Integration by parts formula and shift Harnack inequality for stochastic equations*, Ann. Probab. 42 (2014), 994–1019.
- [11] F.-Y. Wang, Tusheng Zhang, *Log-Harnack inequality for mild solutions of SPDEs with multiplicative noise*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 124 (2014), 1261–1274.
- [12] F.-Y. Wang, *Hypercontractivity for stochastic Hamiltonian systems*, arXiv:1409.1995v2.